

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/904,568 07/16/2001		Johanne Tremblay	004780.00001	3854	
22907 759	00 . 10/31/2003		EXAMINER		
BANNER & WITCOFF			SCHULTZ, JAMES		
1001 G STREET N W			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 1100 WASHINGTON, DC 20001			1635	20	
			DATE MAILED: 10/31/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_				E.6			
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/904,568	3	TREMBLAY ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		J. Douglas		1635				
Th Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communically	ation appears on th	cover sheet with the	correspondenc a	uaress			
A SHORTE THE MAILI - Extensions o after SIX (6) - If the period f - If NO period - Failure to rep - Any reply rec	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, I time may be available under the provisions of MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun or reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of or reply is specified above, the maximum statuly within the set or extended period for reply will eived by the Office later than three months afte t term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever ilication. days, a reply within the statut tory period will apply and will	nt, however, may a reply be ory minimum of thirty (30) d expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro eation to become ABANDON	timely filed ay's will be considered time on the mailing date of this NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
1)⊠ Res	ponsive to communication(s) filed	d on <u>05 June 2003</u> .						
-··/ -		o)⊠ This action is i						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Clair	n(s) 2-52 is/are pending in the approximation	oplication.						
4a) C	of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from cor	sideration.					
5)⊠ Clair	n(s) <u>2-10,14-18 and 22-46</u> is/are	allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>11-13,19-21 and 47-52</u> is/are rejected.								
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	m(s) are subject to restricti	on and/or election re	equirement.					
Application P								
	pecification is objected to by the		ability to by the Ex	vaminer				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
•	r 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•						
-	nowledgment is made of a claim f	for foreian priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
	b) Some * c) None of:	, constant provides	•		•			
۵/	in the second of	locuments have bee	n received.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Certified copies of the priority of			ation No				
3.[Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) 🗆	The translation of the foreign land owledgment is made of a claim for	guage provisional ap	plication has been	received.				
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice of D	references Cited (PTO-892) Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa	FO-948) per No(s)		nary (PTO-413) Paper nal Patent Application (

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/904,568

Art Unit: 1635

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Application/Amendment/Claims

The indicated allowability of claims 11-13, 19-21 and 47-52 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to the above listed claims. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow. Prosecution on the merits of this application is reopened on said claims, considered unpatentable for the reasons indicated below.

Applicant's response filed June 5, 2003 has been considered. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from the previous office action mailed January 9, 2003 are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either newly applied or are reiterated and are the only rejections and/or objections presently applied to the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103 that form the basis for the rejections under these sections made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

102(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

103(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/904,568

Art Unit: 1635

Claims 11-13, 19-21 and 47-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) and 103(a) as being anticipated and/or obvious by Holton et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5,569,832).

The claims of the above invention are drawn to antisense compounds 12 nucleotides or longer in length that comprise primers or hybridization probes directed to hCARG.

SEQ ID NO: 11 of U.S. Patent Number 5,569,832 possesses 100% identity with residues 1083-1100 of SEQ ID NO: 1 of the instant application, and would thus specifically hybridize with SEQ ID NO: 1. Furthermore, since this oligo is disclosed as being useful for hybridization purposes including northern blots and PCR reactions, assays which require the use of oligos in solutions that may comprise carriers, SEQ ID NO: 11 is considered to be disclosed as being used with a carrier. Although this reference does not specifically teach the function of acting as a hybridization or amplification primer to hCARG as claimed in the present application, the above-listed compound meets all the structural limitations as set forth in the instant claims. Because the sequence is substantially identical to applicant's claimed compounds, in the absence of evidence to the contrary said compound is thus considered to possess the functional limitation of acting as a hybridization or amplification primer to hCARG. Support for this conclusion is drawn from MPEP 2112:

Where applicant claims a composition in terms of a function, property or characteristic and the composition of the prior art is the same as that of the claim but the function is not explicitly disclosed by the reference, the examiner may make a rejection under both 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103, expressed as a 102/103 rejection. "There is nothing inconsistent in concurrent rejections for obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103 and for anticipation under 35 U.S.C. 102." In re Best, 562 F.2d 1252, 1255 n.4, 195 USPQ 430, 433 n.4 (CCPA 1977). This same rationale should also apply to product, apparatus, and process claims claimed in terms of function, property or characteristic. Therefore, a 35 U.S.C. 102/103 rejection is appropriate for these types of claims as well as for composition claims. Emphasis supplied.

In rejecting the claims of the above under 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103, a prima facie case has been established by the examiner whereby the burden of proof in showing that the claimed

Application/Control Number: 09/904,568

Art Unit: 1635

compounds are not anticipated by the compound(s) of the prior art as stated lies with the applicant, as per MPEP 2112.01:

Where the claimed and prior art products are identical or substantially identical in structure or composition, or are produced by identical or substantially identical processes, a prima facie case of either anticipation or obviousness has been established. In re Best, 562 F.2d 1252, 1255, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977). When the PTO shows a sound basis for believing that the products of the applicant and the prior art are the same, the applicant has the burden of showing that they are not. *In re Spada*, 911 F.2d 705, 709, 15 USPQ2d 1655, 1658 (Fed. Cir. 1990). Therefore, the prima facie case can be rebutted by evidence showing that the prior art products do not necessarily possess the characteristics of the claimed product. *In re Best*, 562 F.2d at 1255, 195 USPQ at 433.

Thus, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, the compounds of the claims of the instant application are considered anticipated and/or obvious as outlined above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Douglas Schultz whose telephone number is 703-308-9355. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John L. LeGuyader can be reached on 703-308-0447. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

James Douglas Schultz, PhD

SEAN MCGARRY PRIMARY EXAMINER